



TRANSMITTAL LETTER
(General - Patent Pending)

COPY OF PAPERS
ORIGINALLY FILED

Docket No.
113948-023

In Re Application Of: **Thomas M. Levergood, et al.**

Serial No.
09/005,479

Filing Date
January 12, 1998

Examiner
P. Winder

Group Art Unit
2155

Title: **INTERNET SERVER ACCESS CONTROL AND MONITORING SYSTEMS**

RECEIVED

AUG 14 2002

Technology Center 2100

TO THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS:

Transmitted herewith is:

Response to Office Action on 4 pages, Tabs A-F and a return receipt post card

in the above identified application.

- ☒ No additional fee is required.
- ☐ A check in the amount of _____ is attached.
- ☒ The Assistant Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge and credit Deposit Account No. **02-1818** as described below. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.
- ☐ Charge the amount of _____
- ☐ Credit any overpayment.
- ☒ Charge any additional fee required.


Signature

Dated: **July 31, 2002**

Jeffrey H. Canfield (Reg. No. 38,404)
Bell, Boyd & Lloyd LLC
P.O. Box 1135
Chicago, Illinois 60690
(312) 807-4233

I certify that this document and fee is being deposited on 7/31/02 with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail under 37 C.F.R. 1.8 and is addressed to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20531.


Signature of Person Mailing Correspondence

Robert Buccieri

Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing Correspondence

CC:



COPY OF PAPERS
ORIGINALLY FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Thomas M. Levergood, et al.
Appl. No.: 09/005,479
Filed: January 12, 1998
Title: INTERNET SERVER ACCESS CONTROL AND MONITORING SYSTEMS
Art Unit: 2155
Examiner: P. Winder
Docket No.: 113948-023

#28
LDT
8-16-02

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, DC 20231

RECEIVED

AUG 14 2002

Technology Center 2100

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Sir:

The present remarks are in response to the Office Action mailed May 8, 2002. Claims 3, 5-26, 31-43, 49-63, 67-93, 96-98, 100-106 and 108-115 are pending in the application. Claims 3, 5-6, 13-15, 17-21, 23, 32, 35-38, 49-54, 56-63, 67-75, 77, 79-93, 101-102, 104, 106, and 112-115 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) as being unpatentable over A Distributed Authorization Model for WWW by *Kahan*. Claims 7-12, 22, 24-26, 31, 33-34, 39-43, 55, 76, 78 and 108-111 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Kahan* in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,347,632 to *Filepp et al.* Claims 16 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Kahan* in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,560,008 to *Johnson et al.* Claims 96-98, 100, 103 and 105 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Kahan* in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,768,521 to *Dedrick*. For the reasons set forth below, Applicants respectfully submit that all pending claims are in condition for allowance.

Claims 3, 5-6, 13-15, 17-21, 23, 32, 35-38, 49-54, 56-63, 67-75, 77, 79-93, 101-102, 104, 106, and 112-115 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) as being unpatentable over A Distributed Authorization Model for WWW by *Kahan*. Claims 3, 5-6, 13-15, 17-21, 23, 32, 35-38, 49-54, 56-63, 67-75, 77, 79-93, 101-102, 104, 106, and 112-115 are patentable over *Kahan*, because *Kahan* is not prior art with respect to the present application. The present application is a Continuation of U.S. Serial No. 08/474,096, filed June 7, 1995, and which issued as U.S. Patent No. 5,708,780. Accordingly, the present application is entitled to the effective filing date of June 7, 1995. Since, the *Kahan* reference cited by the Examiner was not published until at least June 28, 1995, *Kahan* does not qualify as prior art under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a).